1	S. 219, THE "SENATE CAMPAIGN DISCLOSURE PARITY ACT"	
2		
3	WEDNESDAY, APRIL 25, 2012	
4		
5	United States Senate,	
6	Committee on Rules and Administration	
7		
8	The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:39 a.m., in Room 301, Russell	
9	Senate Office Building, Hon. Charles E. Schumer, chairman of the Committee, presiding.	
10	Present: Senators Schumer, Udall, and Alexander.	
11	Also Present: Senator Tester.	
12	Staff Present: Jean Bordewich, Staff Director; Josh Brekenfeld, Deputy Staff	
13	Director; Adam Ambrogi, Chief Counsel; Veronica Gillespie, Elections Counsel; Kelly	
14	Fado, Operations Oversight; Julia Richardson, Counsel; Nicole Tatz, Professional Staff;	
15	Lynden Armstrong, Chief Clerk; Matthew McGowan, Professional Staff; Jeff Johnson,	
16	Staff Assistant; Mary Suit Jones, Republican Staff Director; Shaun Parkin, Republican	
17	Deputy Staff Director; Paul Vinovich, Republican Chief Counsel; Michael Merrell,	
18	Republican Elections Counsel; Lindsey Ward, Republican Professional Staff; Trish Kent	
19	Republican Professional Staff; and Rachel Creviston, Republican Professional Staff.	
20		
21	OPENING STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN SCHUMER	
22		
23	Chairman Schumer. The Rules Committee shall come to order, and good	
24	morning, everybody. I would like to thank my friend, Ranking Member Alexander, for	
25	joining me at this hearing to discuss the Senate Campaign Disclosure Parity Act, S. 219,	
26	introduced by Senator Tester last year.	
27	The legislation we are going to discuss today is, in my opinion, a no brainer. It	
28	is non-controversial, will save taxpayers about half a million dollars a year, and has wide	
29	bipartisan support. It has 24 co-sponsors from both parties, including our Committee	

colleague, Senator Cochran, and six other Republicans.

Senator Tester is here today, and without objection, I would like to welcome him on the dais for the hearing. I strongly applaud my colleague from Montana for pushing this bill because it will cut government spending, strengthen campaign disclosure and make senators comply with the same filing requirements as every other federal candidate.

The current paper-based filing procedure for Senate candidates is a relic from an earlier time. Senate candidates are required to submit their campaign reports on paper to the Secretary of the Senate, who then has to scan that information and e-mail it to the Federal Election Commission, which prints it out and mails it to a private contractor. Finally, on receiving thousands of pages in the mail, a private contractor manually types the information into a searchable format and e-mails it back to the FEC, which posts it on their online database.

Needless to say, the process is cumbersome, wasteful and time consuming. I strongly believe that timely disclosure of campaign finance reports is crucial to safeguard the integrity of our elections. This bill helps do that. When the legislation passes, Senate candidates will finally join candidates from the House and for the president, being required to file their campaign reports electronically and directly with the FEC rather than indirectly and on paper with the Secretary of the Senate.

Not only is e-filing more reliable and makes campaign data available sooner, it also creates significant savings at a time when both parties are searching for ways to reduce our national debt. We will save about \$100,000 a year, and probably even greater savings, although not in the CBO way. We will free up staffers to perform other functions.

The FEC estimates it would save them approximately \$430,000 a year from eliminating the need for outside contractors who convert the scanned files into the FEC's electronic database. It would free up two full-time agency positions and would help them with their supply situation.

58	The FEC has included this policy change in its legislative recommendations for			
59	Congress for years. Now currently a handful of senators from both parties already			
60	voluntarily e-file their campaign reports with the FEC, so we know it works. And a			
61	a sign of my own commitment to this legislation, I have recently begun e-filing my			
62	reports. Is there any good reason to oppose the legislation? I cannot think of one.			
63	But in the past when the bill was brought up, it was sunk by controversial, completely			
64	unrelated amendments, or simply blocked. Senator Alexander and I have worked to			
65	try and avoid that on bills like this, and by fortunate coincidence, we are the two ranking			
66	members of the Rules Committee, so I hope we can get this bill done quickly.			
67	Senator Tester's legislation is common sense, bipartisan, and I hope we can al			
68	agree on it and do it. Before we turn to Senator Tester to make a statement and the			
69	panel of experts, I would like to call on my friend and colleague Senator Alexander. We			
70	are so close. This is the third time we are meeting this morning already.			
71				
72	Senator Alexander. And I am sure not the last.			
73				
74	Chairman Schumer. And not the last.			
75				
76	OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR ALEXANDER			
77				
78	Senator Alexander. Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for having the hearing.			
79	Senator Tester, welcome, and welcome to the witnesses. I will ask consent that my			
80	entire statement be put in the record—			
81				
82	Chairman Schumer. Without objection.			
83				
84	Senator Alexanderand make just these comments. I support this			
85	legislation. I hope we can bring it out, report it quickly, bring it to the floor. I have			

previously co-sponsored legislation like this. This bill is better. It has less extraneous

matter on it, and I think therefore, it will be better received by the Senate.

It is possible that as it makes its way through the Senate, there will be other common sense bipartisan suggestions for how to improve our electoral process, and at that point I hope we can consider those. But I compliment the chairman, Senator Tester, for their work on this. I look forward to joining them and trying to turn it into a law.

Senator Schumer. Senator Tester, we welcome you to the Committee, and thank you for your leadership here. Your entire statement will be read in the record, but feel free to proceed as you wish.

OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR TESTER

Senator Tester. Thank you, Chairman Schumer, and Ranking Member

Alexander. It is a pleasure to be here today with two of my favorite senators. Thank
you very much for holding this hearing I think on an important issue.

I will apologize first. I have a very important Veterans hearing that I have to go to, so when I get done with my statement, I am going to have to scoot. But as far as S. 219 goes, I think Congress has an obligation to be as transparent and as open as possible. And at a time when we are looking to save some money, we all share the responsibility for identifying places to save taxpayer dollars.

This is a rare opportunity that we have in both cutting spending and improving transparency here in Washington, and that's exactly what S. 219, the Senate Campaign Disclosure Parity Act, will do. My bill requires Senate campaign committees to file their campaign finance reports directly and electronically with the Federal Elections Commission, rather than first filing on paper with the Secretary of the Senate.

This bill would bring Senate campaign reporting and transparency into the 21st Century by requiring Senate candidates to do what presidential and House candidates have been doing since 2001. In the Senate, we have long exempted ourselves from

mandatory electronic filing of campaign reports, holding fast to an outdated system of filing our reports with the Secretary of the Senate.

The Secretary of the Senate then prints out reports and delivers reports to the FEC. The FEC then reenters the reports into their computer databases. The system is redundant and it is wasteful. The FEC estimates it would save over \$430,000 a year if they received the reports directly in electronic form from the candidates.

I also have serious concerns about the time delays that are a direct result of the current system of disclosure. Citizens are unable to view Senate candidate campaign finance information until weeks or even months after the data is initially filed. For example, campaign finance data filed in the fourth quarter prior to a general election is typically not accessible to the public until the following February, long after the election has taken place.

In Montana, accountability and transparency are expected from our elected officials and candidates for public office. We expect to know what our elected officials are up to and who they are raising money from. That is why I have led the charge here to bring more sunlight to Senate campaigns, because I feel so strongly about adding more accountability to Senate campaigns. I already filed my campaign finance disclosure electronically with the FEC, and as the chairman pointed out, so do many other--so do many of the co-sponsors of this bill.

If I am going to put this in one sentence, I would say this. We look for common sense measures in the Senate to be done. I think the public expects us to do things that make sense. This makes sense. Thank you for allowing me to be a part of your Committee Chairman Schumer. Thank you for your leadership, Senator Tester.

Would you like to make a brief statement, Senator Udall?

Senator Udall. No, but I was fortunate to be here and to hear Senator Tester's statement, and he has moved me, and I am going to join as a co-sponsor on his legislation because of his excellent statement here, even before hearing these distinguished witnesses.

145	So Senator Tester, you have one more. I believe you have 24. I guess I am	
146	number 25 here, to try to move it along.	
147		
148	Chairman Schumer. But a very important 25. I think this seals the deal.	
149	Thank you. And we know you have to leave, Senator Tester, but thank you for being	
150	here.	
151		
152	Senator Tester. Thank you.	
153		
154	Chairman Schumer. Okay, let me introduce our two witnesses. Ms. Nancy	
155	Erickson, who we all know, and I think I can speak for all of us, know and love, has	
156	served as Secretary of the Senate since 2007. She is only the sixth woman to hold	
157	the position. She worked for 16 years in the office of former Senator Tom Daschle in	
158	various legislative scheduling constituent outreach services. As Secretary of the	
159	Senate, she oversees the filing of Senate candidates' campaign finance reports.	
160	Paul Ryan is the senior counsel at the Campaign Legal Center, where he has	
161	worked since 2004. He is the former political reform director at the Center for	
162	Government Studies and an expert on campaign finance and election law, and he has	
163	litigated many key cases, published numerous articles, and testified before Congress	
164	on these issues.	
165	Both witnesses' statements will be read into the record in their entirety, and Ms.	
166	Erickson, you may proceed.	
167		
168	STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE NANCY ERICKSON, SECRETARY OF THE	
169	SENATE	
170		
171	Ms. Erickson. Good morning. I appreciate this invitation to discuss the impact	
172	that the implementation of S. 219, the Senate Campaign Disclosure Parity Act, would	
173	have on the Office of Public Records, one of 26 departments under the Office of the	

174 Secretary.

Current law requires the secretary to receive Senate campaign reports as a custodian for the Federal Election Commission (FEC). The Secretary is required to forward Senate campaign reports to the FEC within two working days upon receipt.

Since the enactment of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1972 FECA, the Secretary's Office of Public Records has been a filing location for Senate FECA documents which have been submitted by Senate candidates in paper form. In response to the Committee's inquiry, I can confirm for you that House candidates file their reports directly with the FEC.

From our observations, many Senate campaign filers already use the FEC's electronic system to prepare their reports, only to then print the pages for delivery to the Office of Public Records. In addition to filing with the Office of Public Records, Senate candidates also have the option of voluntarily filing electronically with the FEC, which makes those electronic reports available as unofficial Senate electronic filings.

A few filers take this additional step of voluntarily submitting their campaign reports electronically.

My office takes seriously its responsibility to implement Senate policy in an effective and cost efficient manner. To date, Public Records has developed a processing system that involves accepting and date stamping reports, copying the date stamp on the report's mailing envelope as requested by the FEC, scanning and indexing those reports, then making them available to the public as soon as possible, usually the following day through an internal database that can be viewed on public terminals in 232 Hart Senate Office Building.

Despite the fact that the statute allows the Office of Public Records two days to transmit reports to the FEC, reports are typically transmitted to the FEC the same day they are received. Our office also stores and archives the reports.

Over the years the Office of Public Records has streamlined this process utilizing a high volume scanner and transmitting reports to the FEC over an internet connection instead of relying on a T-1 telecom line, saving our office \$5,000 a year. Despite using

the most modern tools available, the processing of paper documents remains labor intensive.

As you know, the size of FEC reports varies during the election and non-election years. In 2010, Public Records processed 6,410 total reports consisting of 522,210 pages. One report alone exceeded 9,000 pages. In 2011, a non-election year, the numbers decreased to 3,486 filings and 223,734 pages. Since the first of this year, Public Records has processed 1,955 reports and 157,032 pages.

S. 219 requires all Senate candidates to file election campaign reports directly with the FEC. I understand that this would have the effect that candidates with more than \$50,000 in contributions or expenditures would be required to file electronically with the FEC. As an officer of the Senate, the Secretary defers policy decisions to the Senate, and my office stands ready to implement this proposed change without delay should the Senate approve the measure.

S. 219-related cost savings for the Office of Public Records would include staff hours of 1.5 Public Record staffers to process FEC reports. Such savings in labor hours would be beneficial to our operations, especially since we have been given new implementation responsibility under the STOCK Act, and our budget, like other legislative branch agencies, has been significantly cut.

As you know, the STOCK Act will expand paper financial disclosure filings in the short term to include periodic transaction reports which will initially require scanning and indexing paper reports in a system similar to the current one used for FEC reports.

The Sergeant at Arms, which provides technical support for the Office of Public Records' highly customized FEC and Lobbying Disclosure Act filing systems and databases, must periodically upgrade the FEC processing application for maintenance purposes. The last major upgrade of the system took four months of staff time from Sergeant at Arms technical staff. Elimination of the current FEC processing system and database would result in Sergeant at Arms manpower savings and would allow that organization to redirect resources and manpower to our joint effort to build an electronic financial disclosure system.

232	Again, i appreciate the opportunity to share information on the important work
233	of our Office of Public Records. Our office has appreciated the support of the
234	Committee over the years on a variety of issues. And in particular, I want to express
235	my appreciation for your support as we implemented new electronic lobbying filing
236	requirements under the Honest Leadership Open Government Act.
237	We stand ready to implement S. 219 if enacted. Thank you.
238	
239	[The prepared statement of Ms. Erickson is included in the record]
240	
241	Chairman Schumer. Thank you, Madam Secretary. And now we will hear from
242	Mr. Ryan.
243	
244	STATEMENT OF PAUL RYAN, THE CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER
245	
246	Mr. Ryan. Good morning, Mr. Chair, distinguished Committee members.
247	Thank you for this opportunity to provide my views this morning on S. 219, the Senate
248	Campaign Disclosure Parity Act. I have submitted more detailed written testimony for
249	the record.
250	The improvement in Senate-related campaign finance disclosure that would
251	result from the passage of S. 219 is long overdue and the Campaign Legal Center
252	strongly supports this bill.
253	All or nearly all federal candidates and political committees compile their
254	campaign finance data using computers and sophisticated software. Computerization
255	of this data collection process has been the norm for more than a decade. Nearly al
256	candidates for the House of Representatives and the Office of President, and nearly all
257	federal political committees, also file their campaign finance disclosure reports
258	electronically directly with the FEC.

This data is then made available to the public quickly in a searchable format via the FEC's website typically within 24 hours. Senate candidates, however, willfully remain stuck in the Dark Ages, filing their disclosure reports on paper and denying the public timely access to the information that the Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized as being vital to democracy.

In Citizens United v. FEC, for example, eight of the Supreme Court's nine justices upheld a challenge disclosure law and stressed the importance of timely disclosure, noting that "modern technology makes disclosure rapid and informative." The Court continued, "with the advent of the internet, prompt disclosure of expenditures can provide shareholders and citizens with the information needed to hold corporations and elected officials accountable for their positions and supporters. This transparency enables the electorate to make informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages."

Though modern technology and internet undoubtedly make rapid and prompt disclosure possible, the Senate has, for more than a decade, refused to utilize such technology. Under current law, senators compile their campaign finance data electronically, but then nonsensically hit the print button and file their disclosure reports with the Secretary of the Senate in paper format.

The reports are then scanned into an electronic format and delivered to the FEC, which then prints the reports once again and reportedly spends more than \$400,000 per year paying people to convert this data back into a searchable digital format that's eventually uploaded to the FEC's website and finally made accessible to the public.

This process can take weeks and may deny voters the important campaign finance data critical to their decision making on election day until after election day. What reason can the Senate possibly have for clinging to the archaic paper-based disclosure system? Unless the Senate's goal is to deny voters important information and waste millions of taxpayer dollars in the process in this time of fiscal crisis, the Campaign Legal Center can fathom no excuse for the Senate's continued refusal to mandate electronic filing of campaign finance disclosure reports.

288	S. 219 presents a simple tax dollar saving fix to the Senate's broken disclosure		
289	system. Under S. 219, Senate candidates and committees would file campaign finance		
290	disclosure reports electronically with the FEC by the same rules applicable to all other		
291	federal political committees and candidates. Enactment of S. 219 would save		
292	candidates and committees the printing costs of this present paper-based system and		
293	would save taxpayers the needless expense of turning those paper reports back int		
294	digital searchable format.		
295	More importantly, enactment of S. 219 would bring Senate-related campaigr		
296	finance disclosure in step with the rapid, prompt and effective disclosure promised to		
297	voters by the Supreme Court in Citizens United, "enabling the electorate to make		
298	informed decisions and give proper weight to different speakers and messages."		
299	We call on the Senate to schedule an up or down vote on S. 219 immediatel		
300	and to pass this long overdue legislation. Thank you again for this opportunity to testif		
301	before you today.		
302			
303	[The prepared statement of Mr. Ryan is included in the record]		
304			
305	Chairman Schumer. Well, thank you. And I want to thank both of you. As a		
306	testament to the completeness of your testimony and the need for this bill, and I think		
307	its lack of controversy, I do not have any questions. Senator Alexander?		
308			
309	Senator Alexander. I thank both witnesses for their testimony, and neither do		
310	I have questions.		
311			
312	Chairman Schumer. Senator Udall?		
313			
314	Senator Udall. I am on the same wave length as both of you and very much		
315	appreciate the witnesses being here. And I appreciate our Secretary of the Senate, who		
316	does a very, very good job for us.		

Chairman Schumer. I agree with those kudos. Okay, so I believe this legislation is something we can get behind. I am going to work with my friend, Senator Alexander, to move it quickly out of committee and through the Senate. Obviously, if there are similar provisions that have the same kind of bipartisan support, I would have no objection to hearing--doing them all together, and my guess, without having talked to him, neither would Senator Reid.

So, without objection, the hearing record will remain open for 10 business days for additional statements and documents submitted for the record. We also request that our witnesses respond in writing to additional written questions from Committee members.

I want to thank my colleagues, Senator Udall, Senator Alexander, as well as Senator Tester, for being here. The hearing is now adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 10:00 a.m., the Committee was adjourned.]